# Non-Synaptic Learning through Modulation of Neuronal Responses Christian D. Swinehart, Kristofer Bouchard, Peretz Partensky, & L.F. Abbott 752.4 Department of Biology & Volen Center for Complex Systems Brandeis University, Waltham MA, USA

# **Function Approximation Learning**



Traditional Supervised Learning



# **Response Modulation-based Learning**



A major drawback of the traditional approach is the lack of a plausible mechanism by which error signals generated by the supervisor could reach individual synapses to guide their modification.

In this study, the supervisor modifies intrinsic neuronal response properties, rather than synaptic strengths, to guide learning.

Recent work (Chance et al., 2002) has shown that the response properties of individual neurons can be modified through changes in the level and balance of their excitatory and inhibitory inputs. This provides a pathway by which supervisor error signals can reach elements of a network to guide its modification during learning.



# **Generality & Interference Effects**



## Multiple Output Units & Interference



## **Interpolation Between Learned States**







- b) A simple sinusoid
- c) A linear combination of (a) and (b)
- d) A sinusoid approaching the sampling frequency of the array of gaussian inputs.

# **Transfer from Intrinsic Properties to Synapses**

![](_page_0_Figure_31.jpeg)

Stimulus

# **Response Modulation Learning with Hebbian Synapses**

![](_page_0_Figure_34.jpeg)

# **Removing the Modulation Signal**

![](_page_0_Figure_36.jpeg)

# References

- Ajemian R, Bullock D, Grossberg S. (2000) Kinematic coordinates in which motor cortical cells encode movement direction. J. Neurophysiology 84(5):2191–203.
- Ajemian R, Bullock D, Grossberg S. (2001) A model of movement coordinates in the motor cortex: posture-dependent changes in the gain and direction of single cell tuning curves. Cerebral Cortex 11(12):1124-35.
- Chance FS, Abbott LF, Reyes AD. (2002) Gain modulation from background synaptic input. Neuron 35 (4):602-4.
- Haruno м, Wolpert DM, Kawato M. (2001) MOSAIC model for sensorimotor learning and control. Neural Computation 13(10):2201-20.
- Kawato M, Wolpert D. (1998) Internal models for motor control. Novartis Foundation Symp. 218:291–304.

![](_page_0_Figure_43.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_44.jpeg)

Sample of Five Averaged Points

1.4 1.5 1.6 Shift Space

### **Hebbian Learning Rule**

Hebbian Learning modifies connections using purely local

We have shown that the supervisor can induce the network to perform its task. However in the absence of the supervisor-driven modulation, nothing in the network has actually changed, and it will behave just as it did before any 'learning' took place.

To be fully equivalent to the traditional network learning approach, the task must somehow be transferred to longer-term storage in the synapses

When a simple hebbian synaptic plasticity rule is added to the network model, the information required by the network to perform the function approximation task is automatically transferred from the supervisor to the synapses through the modulation of intrinsic properties. Although the task could not have been learned using hebbian learning by itself, this two-step process results in a network that can perform the task through properly tuned synaptic strengths, even when the supervisory modulatory signal is removed.

![](_page_0_Figure_54.jpeg)

# Response Modulation + Hebb

## Conclusions

This work addresses the long-standing problem of inducing a neural network to exhibit a desired behavior, and then modifying its synaptic connectivity to reproduce the behavior in the future. However, unlike traditional approaches there is no need for an

Instead the supervisor's actions are realized entirely through ordinary patterns of excitation and inhibition, and the synaptic modification occurs through simple, unsupervised hebbian learning.

implausible mechanism to propagate the error signal to the synapses.

We have demonstrated that the Response Modulation approach is capable of approximating a broad variety of functions, and that prior learning can be generalized to adapt to previously-unseen examples.

Finally, we have shown that while modifying individual neurons' properties instead of their synapses does deprive the network of some flexibility, the degree of interference is manageable given properly sparse connectivity within the inputs.